

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/101,508 09/30/1998		JEAN-LOUIS BOYER	100983	9727	
:	7590 12/18/2001				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 19928			CHAMBERS, TROY		
ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22320		CITAMBEI	65, 1165 1	

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3641

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/101,508	BOYER ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Troy Chambers	3641						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	nears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 14-29 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-19 and 22-29</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20 and 21</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	approved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/	09/101,508		BOYER ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit		
Tro	y Chambers		3641	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires ____ b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\subseteq \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 20 and 21. Claim(s) rejected: 14 - 19 and 22 - 29 over Beukes in view of Jarrot. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____. 10. Other: ____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/101,508

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

1. Per a conversation with applicant representative Dermott J. Cooke (41,685) on 21 November 2001, a corrected copy of PTO-303 is attached hereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 14-19, 22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beukes et al. Beukes discloses in Figure 7 a system for priming a detonator having a timing device (see the Background of the Invention) firing element 16 initiated by a capacitor C2, a switching means Q5 and a microcontroller control means. Additionally, the abstract indicates that only the larger voltage from the capacitor will ignite the element 16. In column 7, II. 45-53.

The Summary of the Invention of Beukes clearly discloses that the device has a designed "no-fire voltage" and a firing signal with a voltage "which is greater than a designed no-fire voltage". (Col. 1, II. 66-67 and col. 2, II. 1-25).

4. With respect to claims 22, 25 and 26, Beukes discloses a remote programming means for setting the time delays.

Application/Control Number: 09/101,508

Art Unit: 3641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 23 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beukes et al. in view of Jarrott et al.

Although Beukes discloses a "wired" programming means, it is well-known in the art to provide a remote or wireless programming means having photo-transistors for the purpose of reducing wiring as taught by Jarrott et al. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to substitute a wired programming means for a remote programming means as taugt by Jarrott for the advantage of a wireless system.

- 7. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beukes et al. It has been well established that providing a mechanical means to perform the function of an electrical means and vise-versa are obvious substitutions for the implied advantages of either system.
- 8. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beukes in view of Powell.

Powell teaches a booby trap means for detonating the detonator upon breach of the enclosure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary level of skill in the art to include a booby-trap device on the detonator of Beukes to provide a detonator which would detonate upon breach of the enclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/101,508

Art Unit: 3641

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3641

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

TECKNOLOGY CENTER 3220